

OCULA Lightning Strikes Talks Selection Rubric

Selection Process

- Submissions will be judged based on creativity, relevance of the topic to academic libraries, and appropriateness of the topic for a lightning talk i.e. can be covered in ten minutes.
- All entries will be blind reviewed by an OCULA panel of judges, at least one of whom will be an OCULA Super Conference Program Planner.
- Up to six (6) applicants will be chosen to speak at the Student Lightning Strikes Award Session. Successful applicants will receive free registration to the Super Conference for the day they are speaking.
- The winner will be determined at the Super Conference, by a vote of all audience members attending the Lightning Strikes Student Award Session.
- The proposed lightning talk should address an original topic or reconsider a past topic in a novel way that would be relevant to academic librarianship.

| Award Criteria | Novice | Proficient | Exceptional |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Creativity or uniqueness of subject | Presented on a subject that is familiar to academic librarians; did not present a new spin on a familiar topic. Worth 1 point | Presented on a familiar subject but in a unique/creative way or put a new spin on a familiar topic; presented on a subject new/unique to academic libraries but did not show a lot of creativity in the way it was presented. Worth 2 points | Presentation showed creativity and/or originality in the topic presented and in the way it was presented; topic was new or original in academic libraries; showed creativity and effort in the presentation style/format. Worth 3 points |
| Points out of 3: ____ <i>(if no information provided assign 0 points)</i> | | | |
| 2. Relevance to Academic Libraries | The presentation topic was not relevant to academic libraries. Worth 1 point | The presentation topic should be relevant to academic libraries. Worth 2 points | The presentation topic was relevant to academic libraries and the relevancy was clearly articulated in the presentation. Worth 3 points |
| Points out of 3: ____ <i>(if no information provided assign 0 points)</i> | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <p>3. Appropriate coverage of subject</p> | <p>Presenter tried to pack too much information into the “lightning talk” format; Presenter did not provide enough detail or information, making the presentation less clear.</p> <p>Worth 1 point</p> | <p>Presenter covered the topic well in the short amount of time but more/less could have been done.</p> <p>Worth 2 points</p> | <p>Presenter gave just the right amount of detail/information to make the topic clear; did not need to rush/fill time; clearly understands the lightning talk format.</p> <p>Worth 3 points</p> |
|--|---|--|--|

Points out of 3: ____ (if no information provided assign 0 points)